maintaining the data needed, and completing and including suggestions for reducing this burden, to VA 22202-4302 Respondents should be aware th does not display a currently valid OMB control nu	reviewing the collect Washington Headqu at notwithstanding ar	ion of information Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Info	regarding this burden estimate or rmation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the property of the contract of the con	nis collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington	
1. REPORT DATE 01 JUN 2010				3. DATES COVERED -		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
Effect of stitch length on complications				5b. GRANT NUMBER		
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)  Hardin M., Oh J. S., White C. E., Cohn S. M.,				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMBER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  United States Army Institute of Sutgical Research, JBSA Fort Sam Houston, TX				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY S Approved for public release		on unlimited				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES						
14. ABSTRACT						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:	17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF			
	assified	c THIS PAGE unclassified	- ABSTRACT UU	OF PAGES 2	RESPONSIBLE PERSON	

**Report Documentation Page** 

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188 Author Contributions: Study concept and design: Millbourn, Cengiz, and Israelsson. Acquisition of data: Millbourn, Cengiz, and Israelsson. Analysis and interpretation of data: Millbourn, Cengiz, and Israelsson. Drafting of the manuscript: Millbourn and Israelsson. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Millbourn, Cengiz, and Israelsson. Statistical analysis: Cengiz and Israelsson. Administrative, technical, and material support: Millbourn. Study supervision: Cengiz and Israelsson. Financial Disclosure: None reported.

- Millbourn D, Cengiz Y, Israelsson LA. Effect of stitch length on wound complications after closure of midline incisions: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Surg. 2009;144(11):1056-1059.
- Cengiz Y, Blomquist P, Israelsson LA. Small tissue bites and wound strength: an experimental study. Arch Surg. 2001;136(3):272-275.
- Harlaar JJ, van Ramshorst GH, Nieuwenhuizen J, et al. Small stitches with small suture distances increase laparotomy closure strength. Am J Surg. 2009; 198(3):392-395.
- Cengiz Y, Gislason H, Svanes K, Israelsson LA. Mass closure technique: an experimental study on separation of wound edge. Eur J Surg. 2001;167(1): 60-63.

## **Effect of Stitch Length on Complications**

e very much enjoyed the recent article in the *Archives* by Millbourn and colleagues¹ evaluating the effect of stitch length following closure of midline incisions on the incidence of wound infection and incisional hernia. These authors systematically challenged the surgical dogma of obtaining large fascial bites when closing abdominal wounds.² This study used a novel experimental design with 2 different needle sizes in the treatment groups, ensuring surgeon compliance while maintaining the essential greater than 4 ratio of suture length to incision length.³

We were unable to discern a few methodological issues. First, a power analysis showed a difference of 6% in the rate of wound infection; however, the expected baseline wound infection rate in the study population was not clearly stated. Also, although the 2 treatment groups appear similar based on the demographic variables presented, it would be helpful to review other important parameters (such as smoking, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and emergent surgery) that have been shown to be independently associated with surgical site infection or incisional hernia. Finally, because the suture to wound length ratio is associated with the incidence of incisional hernia, can the authors delineate the percentage of patients in each group with a suture to wound length ratio of less than 4?

It is remarkable how a simple change in technique resulted in such a significant decrease in complications. The authors should be commended for this landmark trial, which challenges the sacred cow of "mass closure" for abdominal incisions.

Mark Hardin, MD John S. Oh, MD Christopher E. White, MD Stephen M. Cohn, MD

Author Affiliations: United States Army Institute of Surgical Research, Fort Sam Houston, Texas (Drs Hardin and

White); Brooke Army Medical Center, San Antonio, Texas (Dr Oh); and University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio (Dr Cohn).

Correspondence: Dr Hardin, United States Army Institute of Surgical Research, 3400 Rawley E Chambers Rd, Ft Sam Houston, TX 78234-6315 (mark.hardin@amedd.army.mil).

Author Contributions: Study concept and design: Oh, White, and Cohn. Analysis and interpretation of data: Hardin, Oh, and White. Drafting of the manuscript: Hardin, Oh, White, and Cohn. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Hardin and White. Administrative, technical, and material support: Hardin and Oh. Study supervision: Oh, White, and Cohn.

Financial Disclosure: None reported.

- Millbourn D, Cengiz Y, Israelsson LA. Effect of stitch length on wound complications after closure of midline incisions. Arch Surg. 2009;144(11):1056-1059.
- 2. Rahbari NN, Knebel P, Diener MK, et al. Current practice of abdominal wall closure in elective surgery: is there any consensus? *BMC Surg.* 2009;9:8.
- Jenkins TPN. The burst abdominal wound: a mechanical approach. Br J Surg. 1976;63(11):873-876.

## In reply

The surgical dogma of placing stitches at least 10 mm from the wound edge is now challenged in both experimental and clinical studies. Our trial shows that in midline incisions closed with a running, single-layer suture, the lowest rate of wound complications is when a suture length to wound length ratio of at least 4 is obtained with small tissue bites incorporating the aponeurosis only. 2

Hardin et al ask about the baseline of wound infection in the power analysis. In previous clinical studies, the rate of wound infection has been 9%.<sup>3</sup> Randomizing patients to closure with either a short or a long stitch, we expected a higher rate of infection with a long stitch. As we estimated the rate to be 12% with a long stitch and 6% with a short stitch, a power of 80% was achieved with 352 patients in each study group.

Smoking, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and emergent surgery are interesting parameters but were nevertheless not included in this trial. With a large randomized trial continued over several years, we considered it improbable that these factors would differ between groups.

Wounds were closed with a ratio of less than 4 in 35 of 356 patients (9.8%) in the short stitch group and in 11 of 381 patients (2.9%) in the long stitch group. This might be expected, as it takes more work to achieve a high ratio with small tissue bites. This trial was analyzed as intention to treat, and thus the rate of incisional hernia was somewhat higher with a short stitch than it would have been if wounds that were closed with an inadequate ratio had been excluded.

Daniel Millbourn, MD Yucel Cengiz, MD, PhD Leif A. Israelsson, MD, PhD

Author Affiliations: Department of Surgery, Sundsvall Hospital, Sundsvall, Sweden (Drs Millbourn and Cengiz); and Department of Surgery and Perioperative Sciences, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden (Dr Israelsson). Correspondence: Dr Millbourn, Department of Surgery, Sundsvall Hospital, Lasarettsvägen 21, SE-85186 Sundsvall, Sweden (daniel.millbourn@lvn.se).

Author Contributions: Study concept and design: Millbourn, Cengiz, and Israelsson. Acquisition of data: Millbourn, Cengiz, and Israelsson. Analysis and interpretation of data: Millbourn, Cengiz, and Israelsson. Drafting of the manuscript: Millbourn and Israelsson. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Millbourn, Cengiz, and Israelsson. Statistical analysis: Cengiz and Israelsson. Administrative, technical, and material support: Millbourn. Study supervision: Cengiz and Israelsson. Financial Disclosure: None reported.

- Millbourn D, Israelsson LA. Wound complications and stitch length. Hernia. 2004:8(1):39-41.
- Millbourn D, Cengiz Y, Israelsson LA. Effect of stitch length on wound complications after closure of midline incisions: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Surg.* 2009;144(11):1056-1059.
- Israelsson LA, Jonsson T. Incisional hernia after midline laparotomy: a prospective study. Eur J Surg. 1996;162(2):125-129.

## Stenting or Not Stenting Before Operating Malignant Colonic Obstruction? That Is the Question

e read with interest the article by Cheung et al1 published in the December 2009 issue of the Archives. First, we congratulate them for the completion of their randomized trial comparing stents with emergency surgery for obstructing left-sided colon cancer, because we all know how difficult it is to conduct such a trial in an emergency setting while comparing 2 very different approaches. Their results regarding the success rate of stents are impressive, though we in France have not had the same experience. Our results of stenting are far less favorable than those of the authors. We have conducted a quite similar randomized trial (I.P. et al, unpublished data, 2010), including 60 patients on an intent-totreat basis (30 in each group), with stoma for any reason as the main end point. A total of 17 patients (57%) sustained a stoma after emergent open surgery compared with 13 (43%) patients after stenting and subsequent surgery (P=.30). Most stoma (n=12) in the stenting group were placed because of failure or complications of the procedure. Hence, in our experience, stenting did not meet its goal by avoiding the stoma in nearly half of our patients.

A recent systematic review on this topic showed that the validity of results are limited because of the small sample sizes of the included studies, and additional comparative studies will add to the certainty of the conclusions that can be drawn.<sup>2</sup> The awaited studies are there (I.P. et al, unpublished data, 2010),1 but unfortunately with conflicting results (obtained during the same period, 2002-2006). This situation highlights the need for further evidence-based evaluation of stenting as a bridge to surgery aiming to avoid the need for a stoma. So, to the question asked by Ludwig and Ridolfi<sup>3</sup> commenting on the aforementioned article, we would answer that yes, this question deserves a further randomized trial or at least a further systematic quantitative review. On the other hand, besides morbidity and stoma rates, this further evaluation should answer the question of possible tumor dissemination following stenting, because in our experience (I.P. et al, unpublished data, 2010), besides the clinical perforations, 8 resected colonic specimens showed silent perforations by the prosthesis, raising the question of oncologic outcomes.

Karem Slim, MD Isabelle Pirlet, MD Bertrand Millat, MD, PhD

Author Affiliations: Department of Digestive Surgery University Hospital, University Hospital of Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont-Ferrand, France (Dr Slim); and Department of Digestive Surgery, Saint-Eloi Hospital, Montpellier, France (Drs Pirlet and Millat).

Correspondence: Dr Slim, Department of Digestive Surgery, University Hospital of Clermont-Ferrand, Hotel-Dieu Blvd Leon Malfreyt, Clermont-Ferrand, 63058 France (kslim@chu-clermontferrand.fr).

Author Contributions: Study concept and design: Pirlet and Millat. Acquisition of data: Slim, Pirlet, and Millat. Analysis and interpretation of data: Slim, Pirlet, and Millat. Drafting of the manuscript: Slim. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Slim, Pirlet, and Millat. Statistical analysis: Millat. Obtained funding: Millat. Administrative, technical, and material support: Millat. Study supervision: Slim and Millat.

Financial Disclosure: None reported.

- Cheung HYS, Chung CC, Tsang WWC, Wong JCH, Yau KKK, Li MKW. Endolaparoscopic approach vs conventional open surgery in the treatment of obstructing left-sided colon cancer. *Arch Surg.* 2009;144(12):1127-1132.
   Watt AM, Faragher IG, Griffin TT, Rieger NA, Maddern GJ. Self-expanding
- Watt AM, Faragher IG, Griffin TT, Rieger NA, Maddern GJ. Self-expanding metallic stents for relieving malignant colorectal obstruction: a systematic review. Ann Surg. 2007;246(1):24-30.
- 3. Ludwig K, Ridolfi TJ. Does every question deserve a randomized controlled trial? *Arch Surg.* 2009;144(12):1132.
- Maruthachalam K, Lash GE, Shenton BK, Horgan AF. Tumour cell dissemination following endoscopic stent insertion. Br J Surg. 2007;94(9):1151-1154.

## In reply

We greatly appreciate Slim and colleagues' interest in our article. We also congratulate them on their multicenter randomized controlled trial. They rightly pointed out that there may be possible tumor dissemination following colonic stenting in theory, but there are no oncological consequences reported in the literature so far.<sup>2</sup> On the other hand, systemic reviews have demonstrated the safety and efficacy of endoluminal stenting for patients with colorectal cancer, with low stent-related mortality of less than 1%. The median perforation and stent migration rates were only 4% and 11%,<sup>3</sup> respectively.<sup>4</sup> In our earlier reported series, in which colonic stenting was used in 68 patients with distal colorectal tumors from February 2002 to August 2008—including emergency stenting in 53 patients with acute intestinal obstruction, palliative stenting for endoscopically obstructed cancer in 12 patients, as well as preemptive stenting in 3 patients with locally advanced stenotic rectal cancer intended for neoadjuvant chemoirradiation—the technical success and clinical success rates were 81% and 65%, respectively.<sup>5</sup> Our experience showed that colonic stenting is a useful adjunct in the management of distal colorectal cancer. Apart from being an alternative measure for palliation, it is an effective and noninvasive way for relieving obstruction in patients with obstructed tumors, allowing them to undergo subsequent 1-stage laparoscopic tumor resection. It is also useful in patients with locally advanced rectal cancer, in whom neo-